```
53RD ANNUAL MEETING OF THE
               REPUBLICAN RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION
                    HELD September 12, 2013
                   BEGINNING at 9:00 a.m.
      At the Colby Community Building Little Theatre
10
11 Room, Colby, Kansas.
12
                The above-entitled meeting was taken at
13
14 the Colby Community Building, Little Theatre Room, 285
15 E. 5th, Colby, Kansas, before Marilyn F. Bailey,
16 Registered Merit Reporter and Certified Court Reporter
17 for the State of Kansas.
18
19 APPEARANCES NEXT PAGE:
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
3 REPUBLICAN RIVER COMPACT ADMINISTRATION APPEARANCES:
  For Kansas:
 5 David Barfield, Commissioner and Chair
  Scott Ross, Water Commissioner
  Chris Grunewald, Kansas Attorney General's Office
7 For Colorado:
8 Dick Wolfe, Commissioner
  Scott Steinbrecher, Colorado Attorney General's Office
9 Ivan Franco, Engineer Adviser
10 For Nebraska:
11 Brian Dunnigan, Commissioner
  Justin Lavene, Nebraska Attorney General's Office
12 Jim Schneider, Deputy Director
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

08:50 AM

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay, I'd like to call this meeting to begin. My name is David Barfield.

I am Kansas' Chief Engineer and Commissioner for Kansas and Republican Compact Administration for this year. Also Chairman of the Administration.

So welcome to the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Republican River Compact Administration here in Colby, Kansas.

We have an agenda that we'll consider here in a moment for adoption for the morning. But before that, I'd like to do introductions. And I think to do that, we're a small enough group, and I think the room's small enough that we'll just go around, first at the head table here, and then just go around the room. And if you could just state your name, and sort of your association, and interest in the Republican River Basin, we would appreciate that.

Let's start with myself. I've already introduced myself. To my -- I'll introduce our Kansas delegation here at the table.

To my right is Scott Ross, Water

Commissioner from the Stockton field office and

our lead Engineering Committee Representative for

one more day here. We'll speak later in the

09:03 AM 15

OJOOJ AM I

09:04 AM

morning about Scott's retirement that starts tomorrow.

And then on my left is Chris Grunewald from the Kansas Attorney General's office.

So Commissioner Wolfe, why don't we have you introduce the Colorado delegation?

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Thank you, Chairman. Good morning. Dick Wolfe, Colorado State Engineer and Commissioner for Colorado on the Republican River Compact.

To my left is Scott Steinbrecher, who's Assistant Attorney General for Colorado. And to his left is Ivan Franco, who's the Engineer Adviser for Colorado.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Commissioner Wolfe --I'm sorry, Commissioner Dunnigan, if you'd introduce Nebraska's delegation?

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Thank you, Chairman Barfield. My name is Brian Dunnigan. I'm the Director for the Nebraska Department of Natural Resources and Commissioner for the Republican River Compact Administration.

To my immediate left is Justin Lavene from the Attorney General's Office. And to my right is Deputy Director, Jim Schneider.

09:04 AM 15

18

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

09:05 AM CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Dunnigan. Why don't we start over here, and if you could just, again, say your name and your This meeting is being recorded, we association. have a court reporter here, so to the extent you're making remarks, we will have a microphone available for those that make presentations. But if you could just speak slowly and plainly, that 8 would be helpful. 9 MS. SCHELLPEPER: I'm Jennifer Schellpeper 10 with the Nebraska Department of Natural Resources. 11 MR. KOESTER: Paul Koester with the 12 Department of Natural Resources of Nebraska. 13 Tom Riley with the Flatwater 14 MR. RILEY: 09:05 AM 15 Group. Marc Groff, also with the MR. GROFF: 16 Flatwater Group. 17 Tom Wilmoth, counsel for 18 MR. WILMOTH: Nebraska. 19 MR. SULLIVAN: Mike Sullivan with 20 Colorado. 21 22 MR. AMPE: Peter Ampe, Hill and Robbins, 23 counsel for the Republican River Water Conservation District. 24 MR. ROBBINS: I'm David Robbins, also 25

09:06 AM 1	counsel for the Republican River Water
2	Conservation District in Colorado.
3	MS. WILKINS-WELLS: Kate Wilkins-Wells,
4	GMD 4, Colby.
5	MR. FANNING: Jasper Fanning with the
6	Upper Republican Natural Resources, District of
7	Nebraska.
8	MR. STANTON: Shane Stanton with the
9	Department of Natural Resources, Nebraska.
10	MR. O'CONNER: Tom O'Conner, Nebraska
11	Department of Natural Resources.
12	MR. EDGERTON: Brad Edgerton of
13	Frenchman-Cambridge Irrigation District.
14	MR. THOMPSON: Aaron Thompson with the
09:06 AM 15	Bureau of Reclamation.
16	MR. SCOTT: Craig Scott with the Bureau of
17	Reclamation.
18	MR. DOWELL: Jack Dowell with the
19	Groundwater Management District in Yuma, Colorado.
20	MR. KEELER: Dave Keeler, Division of
21	Water Resources, Colorado.
22	MR. MILLER: John Miller with the U.S.
23	Geological Survey, North Platte Field Office.
24	MR. ERGER: Patrick Erger with the Bureau
25	of Reclamation.

Republican Natural Resources District in Alma.  MR. KOTSCHWAR: Jerry Kotschwar, Frenchman  Valley Irrigation District. Nebraska.  MR. JANKOVITS: Clarence Jankovits from  Frenchman Valley.  MR. ALBERT: Kenneth Albert, Frenchman  Valley Irrigation District in Nebraska.  MR. FELKER: Don Felker, Frenchman Valley  Manager, Nebraska.  MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican  Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle  Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  Water Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	09:07 AM 1	MR. CLEMENTS: Mike Clements, Lower
Valley Irrigation District. Nebraska.  MR. JANKOVITS: Clarence Jankovits from Frenchman Valley.  MR. ALBERT: Kenneth Albert, Frenchman Valley Irrigation District in Nebraska.  MR. FELKER: Don Felker, Frenchman Valley Manager, Nebraska.  MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District Water Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	2	Republican Natural Resources District in Alma.
MR. JANKOVITS: Clarence Jankovits from Frenchman Valley.  MR. ALBERT: Kenneth Albert, Frenchman Valley Irrigation District in Nebraska.  MR. FELKER: Don Felker, Frenchman Valley Manager, Nebraska.  MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District Water Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	3	MR. KOTSCHWAR: Jerry Kotschwar, Frenchman
MR. ALBERT: Kenneth Albert, Frenchman  Valley Irrigation District in Nebraska.  MR. FELKER: Don Felker, Frenchman Valley  Manager, Nebraska.  MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican  Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle  Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  MAR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  MAR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	4	Valley Irrigation District. Nebraska.
MR. ALBERT: Kenneth Albert, Frenchman  Valley Irrigation District in Nebraska.  MR. FELKER: Don Felker, Frenchman Valley  Manager, Nebraska.  MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican  Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle  Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  Mater Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	5	MR. JANKOVITS: Clarence Jankovits from
Walley Irrigation District in Nebraska.  MR. FELKER: Don Felker, Frenchman Valley  Manager, Nebraska.  MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican  Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle  Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  Mater Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	6	Frenchman Valley.
MR. FELKER: Don Felker, Frenchman Valley Manager, Nebraska.  MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District Water Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	7	MR. ALBERT: Kenneth Albert, Frenchman
Manager, Nebraska.  MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican  Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle  Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  Mater Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	8	Valley Irrigation District in Nebraska.
MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican  Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle  Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  Water Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	9	MR. FELKER: Don Felker, Frenchman Valley
Natural Resources District in Nebraska.  MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District Water Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	10	Manager, Nebraska.
MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  Mater Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	11	MR. SMITH: Dan Smith, Middle Republican
Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.  MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  Water Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	12	Natural Resources District in Nebraska.
MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District  Water Resources.  MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	13	MR. MERRIGAN: Bob Merrigan, Middle
MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican  River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of  Republican River Water Conservation District,  Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	14	Republican Natural Resources District, Nebraska.
MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado. MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado. MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas. MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	09:07 AM 15	MR. CAO: Hongsheng Cao. Kansas District
River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	16	Water Resources.
MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	17	MR. CORYELL: Dennis Coryell, Republican
Republican River Water Conservation District, Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	18	River Water Conservation District, Colorado.
Colorado.  MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,  Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	19	MS. DANIEL: Deb Daniel, Manager of
MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis, Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	20	Republican River Water Conservation District,
Kansas.  MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	21	Colorado.
MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at	22	MR. STEPHENS: Dan Stephens, St. Francis,
	23	Kansas.
	24	MR. DELKA: Mike Delka, Manager at
25 Bostwick Irrigation District in Nebraska.	25	Bostwick Irrigation District in Nebraska.

09:08 AM 1	MR. WINZ: Ray Winz, Tri-Basin NRD in
2	Holdredge, Nebraska.
3	MR. THORBURN: John Thorburn, Manager of
4	Tri-Basin NRD in Holdredge, Nebraska.
5	MR. STREETER: Tracy Streeter, Kansas
6	Water Office, Topeka.
7	MR. HELMS: Dale Helms, Nebraska Surface
8	Water and groundwater irrigator.
9	MR. BOSSERT: Wayne Bossert, Groundwater
10	District 4, here in Colby.
11	MR. PERKINS: Sam Perkins, Kansas Division
12	of Water Resources.
13	MR. Schreuder: Willem Schreuder,
14	Principia Mathematica.
09:09 AM 15	CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right, thank you
16	very much. The next item on the agenda is
17	consideration of the adoption of the agenda. We
18	distributed the proposed agenda as in its final
19	form for our consideration this morning.
20	I guess I would ask if there are any
21	changes to the agenda that has been proposed to
22	the meeting.
23	I guess I'd accept a motion to adopt it.
24	COMMISSIONER WOLFE: So moved.
25	COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Second.

09:09 AM

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: It's been moved and seconded. All in favor of adopting the agenda as proposed, signify by saying "Aye."

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Aye.

Very good. The agenda is therefore adopted.

For everybody's information, the next two items deal with approval of reports and transcripts. Agenda item 3 is sort of a routine matter where we consider the report and transcript for the previous year's annual meeting, and we'll consider that first.

Item 4 is consideration of previous annual and special meeting reports and transcripts that have been backlogged. So we'll consider that subsequently.

So a transcript of the meeting, of last year's annual meeting, and a report that is a summary of the meeting has been distributed to the states, and there's been opportunity to review that, and edit it, and I believe we are ready to adopt the report and transcript for last year's meeting at this time. Is that correct? Okay. I

09:10 AM 15

19

21

20

22

23

24

09:11 AM

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

see both indicating so.

So I would, again, welcome a motion to do that.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve the annual report and transcripts for the 2012 annual meeting.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Wolfe.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right, second from Mr. Dunnigan. All in favor, say "Aye."

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Aye.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: I say Aye. Very good.

That is done.

The agenda item also notes subsequent special meetings, and I'd like to, just for the benefit of those attending, note that since last year's annual meeting there have been four special meetings of the Compact Administration. And when we do the record for the year this annual meeting will be a part of that record, and as well as the four special meetings that we have had since our last annual meeting. Let me briefly summarize the dates and purposes of those meetings.

On December 11, 2012, the Republican River

09:11 AM 15

09:12 AM

J

09:13 AM 15

Compact Administration, RRCA, held a special meeting to discuss an outline for augmentation developed by Nebraska. And at that meeting we also approved regulations -- revisions to our rules and regulations.

On March 8, 2013, the RRCA held a special meeting to consider Nebraska's Rock Creek

Augmentation Plan and Resolution. That resolution was not approved. Nebraska initiated the Fast

Track arbitration immediately thereafter, and an arbitration trial was held on that matter in Denver during late August.

That arbitration trial also dealt with Nebraska's Proposed Alternative Water Short Year Administration Plan.

On October 2nd the RRCA held a special meeting to consider Colorado's Compact compliance Pipeline Proposal Resolution and Bonny Reservoir Proposal Resolution. These resolutions were not approved. Colorado initiated Fast Track arbitration on these matters immediately thereafter.

The arbitration trial on those two matters will be held in Denver during early October.

And finally on July 9, the RRCA held a

09:13 AM

2

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

09:14 AM 15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

special meeting considering Nebraska's Cooperative Republican Platte Enhancement Augmentation Plan Proposal, and a resolution to adopt that proposal.

That resolution was not approved, and again, Nebraska initiated Fast Track arbitration on the matter shortly thereafter.

An arbitration trial on that matter has not yet been scheduled.

So I believe that --

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Mr. Chairman, just a slight correction there. I think you indicated October 2nd. It should have been May 2nd for the special meeting for Colorado's proposed CCP and Bonny Resolutions.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you,

Commissioner Wolfe, for that correction. Yes, the
third of the meetings I mentioned was on May

2nd.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you.

I believe that concludes Agenda Item 3. Agenda Item 4 is the -- is discussing the status and acting on previous annual special meeting reports and transcripts.

We have developed a backlog of annual

09:14 AM

back to the 2007 annual meeting for the year 2006. And so we have distributed those, and reviewed those, and I believe we are ready to approve a package that is for the five years, for the annual meetings for 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011.

reports, and transcripts of those reports, going

I guess if -- is there any discussion?

Again, I would entertain a motion to accept.

> COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: So moved.

All right. CHAIRMAN BARFIELD:

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right. It's been moved and seconded. Then I would ask those in favor to indicate by saying "Aye."

> COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Aye.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Aye. Okay. So that packet of annual reports and transcripts are approved.

Yesterday we held a work session where we sort of prepare for this morning's meeting, as well as discuss other matters in a more informal setting. We have not published an annual report for some time, as you can gather. We discussed

09:15 AM 15

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

09:16 AM

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

09:17 AM 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

the format of distributing the annual report. rules require annual reports to be developed, and there's some distribution of those reports that's required to the President of the United States, and others.

We've decided to publish them electronically, rather than in a booklet form, and distribute them via CDs. Each state will get a certain number of CDs, and the chair each year is required to distribute those to a fairly limited set of individuals. And so that distribution will happen in CD form, in electronic form, and those PDFs will also be, you know, maintained on our various web sites. So those should be available very shortly.

So I believe that concludes Agenda Item 4. So we will go on to Agenda Item 5, which is reports of the commissioners. As chairman, I will give those reports.

In terms of climate conditions in Kansas, I reported last year of drought conditions which started in Kansas, in southern Kansas, in 2011, which was among the most -- the least precipitation, and most significant heat in quite some time. Unfortunately, these conditions

09:17 AM

continued in to 2012 and spread to a statewide status, rather than being confined to southern Kansas.

We saw a record number of files, water right files administered for minimum desirable streamflow, or MDS, as we call it across the state. We administered over 450 files in 2012, including 190 files on the Republican River Basin. And this administration in the Republican River began on August 9, 2012 and continued through August 15th, 2013, when it was lifted.

We also did a significant amount of general water administration throughout the state in 2012, and some in 2013 as well. Fortunately drought conditions have eased over significant portions of Kansas, particularly south central Kansas and eastern Kansas, although much of western Kansas remains very dry.

In terms of legislation, the most significant legislation with respect to water passed in 2013 was House Bill 2363. I provided a copy of this bill to the other states yesterday in our work session. The bill has a number of sections on various matters. I'd like to highlight two of them here this morning.

09:18 AM 15

09:19 AM

Appropriation Act to set up a mechanism for a new type of permit, called a Limited Transfer Permit. The impetus for this section of the bill was a concern for an effective means to allow for water for, particularly, fracking activities in areas of limited water supply. The bill, in essence, allows for temporary leasing of a portion of a water right up to four million gallons in a year, for fracking or other purposes.

A second portion of the bill I'd like to highlight, it amended our Stream Obstruction Act that we use to regulate the construction of dams, and other types of stream obstructions, and the bill significantly narrowed activities that are subject to regulation under that act. The bill changed jurisdictional definitions and expanded exemptions for permitting of dams and other stream obstructions, as well as allowing more projects under Streamline Permitting Process, called General Permits.

In response to a question of Commissioner Dunnigan, we'll talk about the bill's implications to monitoring non federal dams in Kansas under the Compact in a later agenda item.

09:20 AM 15

09:20 AM 1

09:20 AM

09:21 AM 15

While we crafted and supported a number of the changes to our jurisdiction on smaller stream obstructions and dams, the bill went much further that we believed prudent in the area of reducing the state's jurisdiction of dams.

Last year I reported on a bill of the 2012 legislature that enabled the creation of local enhanced areas, or LEMA's, as we call them. This legislation was championed by Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District Number 4, and particularly its manager, Wayne Bossert, who is here with us this morning.

Immediately following the passage of this bill, GMD 4 initiated proceedings for the state's first LEMA in portions of Sheridan county and the Republican River Basin, as well as a small piece of Thomas county. The proceeding required their ongoing leadership and work, vigorous stakeholder involvement, and two formal hearings. I was privileged to give final approval of this first LEMA early this year.

The LEMA implements an allocation of 55 inches over five years, and thereby reduces water use in that area by 20 percent.

In an effort to leverage and incentivize

09:22 AM

the potential water savings that a LEMA could affect, the USDA RMA has implemented a pilot project for limited irrigation crop insurance within this LEMA. LEMA's been hailed as a very useful tool to give locals a way to determine their water management goal and outcome, and

specific means to accomplish those outcomes.

LEMAs are being discussed in other areas in northwest Kansas, in west central Kansas, and to a lesser degree, southwest Kansas.

Kansas' Division of Water Resources has a vigorous compliance enforcement program that true water right holders are abiding by the terms and conditions of those permits. This program has had widespread support for its fairness and effectiveness. Over this last year we were encouraged to strengthen this program further, to discourage overpumping, meter tampering and other such offenses. Effective this January we revised our penalty matrix to increase water penalties after the additional notice of noncompliance.

I'd like to move to talking about Kansas' activities with respect to the Republican River Compact. Kansas is fully in compliance with the Republican River Compact. This is true with

09:22 AM 15

09:23 AM

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

respect to all the tests of compliance under the final settlement stipulation. This is also true with respect to Kansas' additional duties with respect to participation in the Compact Administration and its business.

This last year has been an extremely busy period in this regard for all states, including Kansas.

As with all the states, Kansas has devoted significant legal and technical resources in the ongoing U.S. Supreme Court litigation regarding the Republican River Compact, which continues. This includes participation in the August, 2012 trial, and post-trial activities. included preparing for this past month's trial on the final issue in that proceeding.

Second, Nebraska's Alternative Water Short Year Administration Plan. On July 31, 2012, just before last summer's trial, Nebraska submitted its first ever Alternative Water Short Year Administration Plan, pursuant to Appendix M by the final Settlement stipulation. Kansas took time to make the necessary review of the Nebraska plan, found it did not conform to Appendix M's requirements. Kansas offered a solution to the

09:24 AM 15

09:24 AM

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

plan's deficiencies. Nebraska triggered arbitration on March 21, 2013. Kansas has actively participated in that arbitration, meeting every arbitration deadline, and has committed sufficient resources to understand Nebraska's plan, and clarifying Kansas' case for the fact-finder in that arbitration proceeding.

With respect to augmentation plans, Nebraska requested Kansas' input on what it believed necessary for the augmentation plan. Kansas participated in the December, 2012, special meeting on the subject I mentioned previously. Provided written input on two occasions regarding augmentation plans.

With respect to the Rock Creek Augmentation Plan; on February 8, 2012, Nebraska submitted the proposal to the RRCA and requested a special meeting and vote on the matter within 30 Kansas found the Rock Creek Augmentation Plan deficient, and voted not to approve the plan at that special meeting. Nebraska triggered arbritration on the issue on March 21, 2013.

Kansas has met every arbitration deadline, and committed sufficient resources to understanding Nebraska's plan and clarifying

09:25 AM 15

20 21

> 23 24

22

09:26 AM

arbitration proceeding.

With respect to Colorado's Compact
Compliance Pipeline, and the Bonny Reservoir
issues; on April 5, 2013, Colorado submitted a
Revised Compact Compliance Pipeline Proposal, and
a new proposal is Bonny Reservoir Proposal, the
RRCA, again, requesting a meeting within 30 days
to consider the matters.

Kansas' case in front of the fact-finder in that

The CCP, as it is called, has been arbitrated before, and although Colorado updated its plan in that regard, Kansas found it to still be deficient.

On May 2, 2013, Colorado initiated two separate non-binding arbitrations concerning these two proposals. Again, Kansas has met every arbritration deadline and has committed sufficient resources to understand the proposals and clarifying -- and is working to clarify Kansas' case for the fact-finding and arbritration.

In addition, Kansas has devoted significant resources and additional time to settlement discussions with Colorado on these issues. Since May, Kansas has held many technical discussions with Colorado.

09:26 AM 15

09.20 AM 1

09:27 AM

Kansas has completed a modeling and accounting analysis on both issues, and provided those to Colorado through this period. Eventually the states drafted -- created drafts of documents aiming to resolve, or at least significantly narrow, the issues in dispute on those matters. While we've not reached agreement on all issues, we have significantly narrowed the list of disputed matters.

With respect to operations in 2013, particularly with respect to Harlan County Reservoir; in light of the 2013 water short year, this spring Kansas worked with Nebraska and the Bureau of Reclamation, as well as our Kansas Bostwick Irrigation District, regarding Harlan County Reservoir operations. Kansas sought to fully understand Nebraska's planned operation, offered a proposal, and then a counter proposal to reduce the negative impact of Nebraska's compliance plan on Kansas.

Eventually the state of Nebraska reached an agreement directly with the Kansas Bostwick Irrigation District to mitigate some of those effects.

Finally, Nebraska has submitted its

09:28 AM 15

-----

09:28 AM

Cooperative Republican Platte Enhancement
Augmentation Proposal, submitting that to the RRCA
on June 10, 2013, hosting a workshop regarding
that, and then we had the special meeting on the
matter on July 9, 2013.

Again, Kansas is unable to approve the plan due to many of the same objections as the Rock Creek Augmentation Project. Nebraska has subsequently triggered arbitration on this issue, and Kansas will devote the necessary resources in those processes.

Each of these disputes has been very time and resource consuming. Kansas will continue to work with the states through these processes towards resolving these concerns.

And finally just a few announcements.

There's been a significant -- there is ongoing a significant changing of the guard with regard to water management of northwest Kansas.

First, I've mentioned Wayne Bossert's name as manager of the Northwest Kansas Groundwater Management District Number 4. Wayne has been manager for 36 years, this district, and will be retiring on October 1.

Thank you, Wayne, for your many years of

09:29 AM 15

09.29 AM 1

09:30 AM

J

09:30 AM 15

dedicated and excellent service to your district, and really to the citizens of Kansas through your leadership in the groundwater management district.

His replacement is here today, Kate Wilkins-Wells. We look forward to working with you in the future.

In addition, Scott Ross, our long-time water commissioner for the Stockton field office of the Division of Water Resources is retiring tomorrow. Scott has been with the division for 32 years, and has been water commissioner for the Stockton field office for 27 years.

So we'll have a few words to recognize his contributions to the RRCA at the end of our agenda this morning. But I would like to acknowledge the excellent long-term leadership that he has provided to the division's field operations for northwest Kansas. He has done an outstanding job.

Again, I'm sure we're going to make it through this, but again, we have two giants of water management that we're going to see move on. So that concludes my report. I guess I would move to Colorado.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Thank you, Chairman Barfield. And thank you for your report, and the

09:31 AM

k F F f

09:32 AM 15

details and the description of all the work that's been ongoing with the Compact Administration this past year, in light of the number of arbitrations, and the trial before Special Master Kayatta, in Portland, Maine. And because of that, I'd like to first take this opportunity to thank my staff, particularly those individuals here today, Scott Steinbrecher, and Ivan Franco, Mike Sullivan, Pete Ampe with the District, Dave Keeler, and for all of their help over this past year. It's been a tremendous amount of effort.

As Chairman Barfield has indicated, to dedicate the necessary resources that the Compact and FSS requires to take action on these matters that come before the RRCA, and for better for worse, it's the timing of these things, the way they came out, and we've had several of them before us, as Chairman Barfield indicated.

So certainly I could not have done what I have done over this past year to address these in my capacity as commissioner and to take the necessary actions that we did without all the help and resources that these individuals have provided. And I know each of the states, likewise, probably have respective staff that have

09:32 AM

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

assisted them in those efforts.

I'd also like to just thank all of the efforts, again, of the Republican River Water Conservation District. Mr. Ampe and Mr. Robbins, Deb Daniel. Their board have done over this past year, and frankly, over almost the past ten years since the creation of their district in 2004, to help Colorado in its efforts to achieve Compact We certainly could not have done it compliance. without them, and ultimately we could not have done it without the water users in the Basin. as I've reported in the past, these individual irrigators in the Basin have committed somewhere around a hundred million dollars of their own money in efforts to achieve Compact compliance in the Republican River Basin.

09:33 AM 15

16

17

2425

23

That's just a monumental effort. And when you compare that to some of the other efforts, and compliance efforts around the state that Colorado has dealt with, this is huge. It's amazing the resources that these individuals and efforts they've taken to solve the local problem without the state coming in and doing this. The state has provided a loan to the district to help in the construction of the Compact Compliance pipeline,

09:34 AM

but they've committed over the next 20 or 30 years to pay that back to the state, with interest.

And so I've just got to commend them, again, for all of those efforts. They continue just tirelessly to work with the water users out there, and trying to take additional lands out of production through buyouts and through the CREP program. I know they're continuing to do that.

I know Mr. Robbins has spent many hours working back in DC, lobbying for efforts to get the actions approved under the farm bill for the CREP program, not only in the Republican River Basin, but in the Rio Grande Basin in Colorado. This has been a very effective means for Colorado in its efforts to take land out of production in an effort to reduce its consumptive use in the basin, so that we're within our allocations that's afforded under the Compact.

I won't really provide really any more details than what Chairman Barfield indicated in terms of Colorado's efforts that it's undertaken, in regards to its efforts for Compact compliance specifically with the Compact Compliance Pipeline and the efforts with Bonny Reservoir.

As Chairman Barfield indicated, those are

09:34 AM 15

09:35 AM

09:36 AM 15

currently under arbitration, and we have been and continue negotiations with Kansas, to try to seek ultimate approval of those two proposals. And we will -- it's Colorado's intent to achieve Compact compliance as soon as possible, and these two proposals that are before the Compact Administration are critical in terms of those last steps that Colorado has undertaken over the last several years to achieve Compact compliance. So we're going to continue to work very diligently, and dedicate all the necessary resources, to make sure that that happens in a very timely manner.

Unless there's any questions, that concludes my report.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you,

Commissioner Wolfe. I have no questions.

Commissioner Dunnigan, Nebraska's report.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Thank you,

Chairman Barfield, for hosting this year's annual meeting. We really appreciate it. Once again I'm happy to report that the state of Nebraska is in compliance with the Republican River Compact.

Using accounting procedures, Nebraska has had a positive balance since 2007, which has led to compliance with the five year average. Based

09:36 AM

09:37 AM 15

on preliminary estimates, Nebraska will, again, be in compliance for the two year and five year period ending in 2013.

As I reported last year, 2012 saw drought conditions once again creep into the Basin. In fact, 2012 was the warmest and driest year in 118 years of record-keeping for the state of Nebraska.

However, Nebraska's compliance efforts through 2013 have been substantial, affording Kansas water users access to Kansas allocations.

This has occurred as prescribed through the implementation of the third generation integrated management plans which contain forecasting provisions and accompanying controls that have ensured that Nebraska would take sufficent actions for Compact Compliance in 2013.

These forecasting procedures have proven to be a significant advancement over what was available to Nebraska during the previous drought.

While no actions were triggered for 2012, Nebraska, nevertheless, achieved a positive balance in the absence of additional actions.

For 2013, the conservative dry year projections proactively identified potential for noncompliance, thereby providing the necessary

09:37 AM

information to proactively reduce and offset consumptive levels necessary to ensure Compact compliance.

The Basin NRDs continue to demonstrate an ongoing commitment for compliance through their significant investment in programs and projects that will reduce and/or offset depletions throughout the Basin.

These include the augmentation project in Rock Creek Sub-basin which provided water for compliance in 2013, and the augmentation project in Medicine Creek, which is planned to be operational in 2014, to assist Nebraska with compliance going forward.

Other provisions have included the permanent and temporary retirement of surface and groundwater irrigated lands throughout the Basin. Nebraska also continues to invest in the science necessary to support future sound management decisions.

The department is continuing to develop modeling tools and support evaluation and potential injunctive management options throughout the basin.

The department looks forward to working to

09:38 AM 15

16

18 19

17

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21 22

23

24

09:38 AM

assess various water management alternatives through the WaterSMART Basin Studies Program and utilize the tools that have been developed as part of this study to evaluate system improvements and operational improvements that can be made throughout the Basin.

Nebraska has brought several time-critical issues before the RRCA to be addressed over the last year. Although Nebraska and Colorado have agreed on these issues, they remain unresolved by the RRCA.

The fundamental problem is that Kansas has repeatedly verified various legal and technical requirements for which Nebraska and Colorado find Therefore, Nebraska seeks from no foundation. Kansas a clear and transparent process, the parameters of which are rooted in the four corners of the Compact and FSS, but can be utilized in working to resolve issues related to implementation of key components provided within Short of such a clearly defined process, the FSS. Kansas has forced Nebraska and Colorado to rely on the dispute resolution process laid out in the FSS, as it appears the only means to seek resolution of these issues.

09:39 AM 15

UJ·JJ AM I

20

21

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

09:39 AM

In closing, I reiterate that Nebraska will continue to comply with the Republican River

Compact. The State will continue to proactively evaluate the conditions within the Basin and make the necessary adjustments to remain in compliance. We will continue to work with all stakeholders in the Basin, including the other states, the NRDs,

I will now have Tom O'Conner give a report on water administration in the Republican Basin for calendar year 2012. Tom.

Bureau of Reclamation, and water users, as we look

to enhance our management efforts in the future.

TOM O'CONNER: Thank you. This is the report of the Water Administration activities for the Republican River Basin in Nebraska for the calendar year 2012.

January 17th, letters were sent to irrigators reminding them that the 2011 Water Use Reports must be filed with the Cambridge Field Office, or they would be closed for irrigation in 2012.

On January 25th, 16 open notices were issued to storage permits that had been previously closed.

February 6th, 18 closing notices were

09:40 AM 15

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

09:40 AM 1

16 17

22

24

25

09:41 AM

J

09:41 AM 15

issued to water users that failed to submit their required annual Water Use Reports. These water users were not allowed to divert water during the 2011 calendar year.

July 24th, 13 closing notices were issued to water users and storage permit holders between A-3629 and Arapahoe, Nebraska, notifying them that they shall not divert water until further notice.

July 24th, one regulating notice was sent to an irrigator in the Republican River Basin notifying them of the legal amount they could pump.

August 31st, 13 closing notices were sent to storage permit holders in the Republican Basin.

October 24th, 13 opening notices were issued to permits that had been previously closed.

December 11th and 12th. Water Use Reports were mailed to all IR permits, that's irrigation from a natural stream; SI, which is irrigation from reservoirs on lands also covered by a natural flow appropriation; and SO, irrigation from reservoirs on lands not covered by natural flow appropriation, permits in the Republican Basin, with the exception of federally owned canals.

That concludes the Water Administration

Thank you, Tom.

09:42 AM

report.

I'd like to ask Dr. Jasper Fanning, manager of the Upper Republican NRD, to provide an update on augmentation projects within the Basin.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN:

DR. JASPER FANNING: Thank you, Director Dunnigan. As you mentioned earlier, the Rock Creek project constructed by the Upper Republican Natural Resources District is operating this year. That project was constructed to offset depletions within the Rock Creek Sub-basin, is where it delivers water.

The capacity of that project is about 20,000 acre-feet on an annual basis. The district spent between 24 and 25 million dollars to construct that project, and it will cost the water users of the district approximately 42 and-a-half million dollars by the time everything's paid off.

At the same time that that project was being completed, we were working in conjunction with the Middle and Lower Republican Natural Resources Districts in the Republican Basin, along with the Twin Platte Natural Resources District in the Platte Basin, to construct the N-CORPE project that was discussed earlier. That project has

09:43 AM 15

UJOHO AN I

09:43 AM

2

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

09:44 AM 15

16

18

17

19

2021

22

23

24

25

capacity of approximately three times that of Rock Creek to the Republican Basin in the Medicine Creek Watershed, it can deliver 60,000 acre-feet per year. The share of the costs attributable to the Republican Basin NRDs, the Upper, Middle and Lower, will be approximately 86 million dollars, and will cost about 150 million dollars by the time the project is completed.

At this time the N-CORPE board has issued and awarded contracts for construction of the well field and the pipeline to Medicine Creek at a cost of approximately 22 million dollars, with easements, pipeline, and well field. The land purchase up there, there was about 16,000 irrigated acres that were taken out of production, most of which was contiguous in southern Lincoln county. That project, according to the construction schedule, will be awarded a contract. The pipeline should be completed sometime December of this year. And the well field will be brought online shortly thereafter. That is the plan at this time. And that's all I have. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Thank you, Dr. Fanning. That concludes Nebraska's report.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you,

09:44 AM

Commissioner Dunnigan.

Chairman Barfield? COMMISSIONER WOLFE:

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Yes.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

09:45 AM 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Just quickly, before COMMISSIONER WOLFE:

we conclude the reports, I was remiss in not mentioning someone else in our team who is critical, Dr. Willem Schreuder, who's in the back He's certainly a silent giant, of the room. certainly for us, and he's been very critical and instrumental, and I know he has not only assisted Colorado, but provides support to the RRCA, and the other two states as well for the model. thank you, and I apologize for not mentioning that in my report.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right, thank you, Commissioner Wolfe.

Okay, we'll move to the next agenda item, which is federal reports. Aaron Thompson, area manager, will give the report for the Bureau of Reclamation.

AARON THOMPSON: Good morning. I'm Aaron Thompson, representing Reclamation's Nebraska-Kansas area office. I've given a copy of our annual report to the RRCA commissioners. think I gave a couple copies to each. We did have 09:45 AM

09:46 AM 15

some leftover copies, so for those of you in the audience, on the back table are some leftover copies of our annual report, if you're interested. I will not go through the report word by word, but just highlight a few things.

It contains the 2012 operations for our reservoirs, including precipitation data, end of month elevations for the federal reservoirs in the Basin.

One thing I would like to highlight is our Safety of Dams Project at Red Willow Dam. The reconstruction related to the safety of the dam's modifications at Red Willow Dam are, essentially, complete, including placement of the geonet sand and gravel filtration system along the entire length of the dam.

And just to give you a few facts about that filtration system; it involved placing nearly 115,000 square yards of geonet and geotextile materials, 100,000 cubic yards of sand, 55,000 cubic yards of gravel. The system was overlaid with approximately 430,000 cubic yards of embankment material.

The construction is essentially complete.

There was a contract modification to include

09:47 AM

09:47 AM 15

stabilization of the access road, and paving the crest of the dam, and those modifications are expected to be completed in early spring.

I would also like to highlight our
WaterSMART Basin Study Program. The states of
Colorado, Nebraska, and Kansas, and the U.S.
Department of Interior Bureau of Reclamation are
working together as study partners to conduct the
Republican River Basin Study. This study is part
of the U.S. Department of Interior WaterSMART
Basin Study Program.

I would like to thank each one of the commissioners for the collaborative nature in which this study has moved forward. It's a two-year study, and we are nearly ending our first year, and I think we're headed down a track of providing a basin study that will evaluate the viability and water management strategies to optimize surface and groundwater use, in consideration of the multiple demands and the potential effects of climate change and variability. And that concludes my comments this morning.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you. Any questions for Mr. Thompson? Commissioner Wolfe?

09:48 AM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Thank you, Chairman. Aaron, I'd just like to thank you, again, for your efforts, and those of Craig Scott, as well, working with Colorado in the past year in regards to Bonny Reservoir, and since it has been drained, and the additional issues that have come up there regarding sedimentation, and weed issues around We appreciate your cooperation, working there. with us and the local people there, to address that issue, and hope we can continue working on that to ensure that those do not create an issue for us, nor you, in terms of operation of that dam. So thank you.

09:49 AM 15

AARON THOMPSON: Thank you, Commissioner Wolfe, for those comments. And Mr. Ross, it's

been great working with you. We'll miss you.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right, thank you, Mr. Thompson. The next agenda item, we have an opportunity for a report by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. I do not believe anyone is present for the Corps of Engineers.

Very good. Then we will skip over that item.

Agenda Item 6 (c) then is a report from the U.S. Geological Survey. John Miller is here

09:49 AM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

to provide that report.

JOHN MILLER: Thank you for this opportunity to present the Republican River Streamflow-Gaging Collecting Data in Nebraska. I'd also like to thank the folks in Kansas on short notice of getting the projector set up. Ι probably should have given you some formal warning on my needs.

Well, the first -- this is just a copy of the -- of the sheet that's coming around. It's a summary chart of the 2012 water year mean discharges as compared to the period of record. I'll just jump right into the sites here.

The first set of sites we're going to go through are sites that are solely operated by the U.S. Geological Survey funded through the NSIP program, it's a National Streamflow Information And we'll be going in downstream order.

Starting with the Arikaree River at Haigler. And just on all of these sites, I'm just going to point out the annual mean discharge for the 2012 water year, and as they compare to the period of record, and the corresponding ranking.

The mean discharge for the 2012 water year at Arikaree was .65 cfs, and that compares with a

09:50 AM 15

19 20

21

22 23

24

09:51 AM

running mean of 16.7. Its ranking is 77 out of 80 years of record.

Next site is the North Fork of the Republican River. No, I don't want to do that. There we go. The mean for the 2012 water year was 20.5 cfs as compared to a running mean of 41.6 cfs. And that is the lowest ranking in the period of 77 years of record.

The next site is the Buffalo Creek near Haigler. Its mean discharge was 2 cfs, again, with the lowest ranking of 72 out of the 72 years of record. And it compared with the running mean of 6.1 cfs.

The next site is the Rock Creek at Parks site. 2012 mean was 5.86 compared to the running mean of 11.9 cfs. Again, that's the lowest ranking in 72 years of record.

The next site is the South Fork Republican River near Benkelman. 2012 mean was 13.0 cfs, compares to the running mean of 35.1. In 75 years of record, its ranking was 61.

The next site is Frenchman Creek at Culbertson. The mean for the 2012 water year was 30.1 cfs, compared to a running mean that is post Enders Reservoir development. That mean was 66.7.

09:52 AM 15

09.32 AM 1

09:53 AM

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

And its ranking was 59 out of 62 years of record.

The next site is Driftwood Creek near The mean for 2012 was 6.79 cfs, compared McCook. to a running mean of 8.38. Over 66 years of record, and its ranking is 38.

The next site was Red Willow Creek near Red Willow. Had a mean of 15.7 cfs, compared to a running mean of 13.8. If I'm reading that right. Again, that was post Hugh Butler Reservoir development. And its ranking was 12 out of 51 years of record.

Sappa Creek near Stamford, had a mean for 2012 year of 17.5 cfs, compared to the running mean of 39.0. And its ranking was 33 out of 66 years of record.

The last site in this set is the Courtland Canal site. Courtland Canal diverts water from the Republican River to the Lovewell Reservoir in Kansas.

Mean for the 2012 year was 74.4 cfs compared to a running mean of 75.4, for a ranking 29 out of 58 years of record.

These next set of sites are operated by the U.S. Field -- field operations are by the U.S. Geological Survey, but its federal match, and

09:54 AM 15

20

22 23

21

24

09:55 AM

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

09:56 AM 15

16

18 19

17

20

21

22 23

24

25

state and local match through the cooperative program by the USGS. The first site is the Republican River at Stratton. Had a mean for the 2012 water year of 32.4 compared to a running mean That ranking is 54 out of 62 years of record.

The next site is Republican River at Had a mean of 41.3 for the 2012 water year, compared to a running mean of 125.3 for 58 years of record. Gives it a ranking of 53.

The last site in this set is the Republican River near Orleans. Had a mean of 103 for the 2012 water year, compared to a running mean of 230. That gives it a ranking of 57 out of 65 years of record.

The last couple of sites are sites where the field operations are conducted by the Nebraska Department of Resources, and the USGS and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers provides DCPs for web display and data review.

The first site is the Frenchman Creek at Palisade, had a mean of 18.5 cfs for the 2012 water year. Compared to a running mean of 60.8. And this, again, is post Enders Reservoir development. And the ranking is 60 out of 62

09:57 AM

years of record.

And the final site is the Republican River at Cambridge. Had a mean of 105 cfs for the 2012 water year, compared to a running mean post Harry Strunk Reservoir of 212 cfs. Gives it a rank of 58 out of 63 years of record.

And that is my last slide. And here's some information on the senior staff in our Lincoln office. And that is my presentation.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay, thank you, Mr. Miller. Any questions for Mr. Miller? All right, hearing none. Thank you very much.

The next item on the agenda is committee reports. And we'll hear the Engineering Committee Report from Scott Ross.

SCOTT ROSS: Okay. We've worked through the 2012 Engineering Report for the meeting that was conducted on October 16th. The assignments that were given, I'll briefly give you the assignments and the activities.

We were assigned to finalize work on the user's manual. We have considered that, and are recommending for the 2013 action that that task be removed from the list of assignments, and that each state produce, with their accounting, a

09:58 AM 15

09:59 AM

procedure upon which that data was being recorded.

States exchanged the required accounting data on April 15th, 2012. Kansas/Nebraska posted their online results on April 15th. Willem Schreuder, Principia Mathematica, completed the preliminary version, or preliminary run, and posted on April 27th, 2012. States exchanged final data on September 20th, 2012, and Principia Mathematica completed a final run of this data on October 4th, 2012.

The committee collected stream flow and climate data in cooperation with the Bureau of Reclamation, and Army Corps of Engineers, and the U.S. Geological Survey.

We were assigned to continue efforts to resolve concerns related to varying methods of estimating groundwater and surface water recharge. Kansas provided literature that we believe support a revisiting of that issue. And that was about all that happened. That issue is still under review.

We were assigned to perform an ongoing maintenance -- or retain Principia Mathematica.

That's still under discussion. Billable costs to each state not to exceed \$15,000. Each state is

10:00 AM 15

10:00 AM

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

separately contracted with Principia Mathematica for calendar year 2012.

Continue development of five year accounting spreadsheets. The Committee considered Each state is performing their own, and we are recommending that the administration remove those -- remove that assignment, as each state is doing fine on their own individual five year spreadsheet.

Continue to review Colorado's augmentation proposals as appropriate. That has been largely done through the administration and special meetings and arbitrations.

Continue efforts to finalize 2006 to 2010 accounting. Much of this is subject to the current Supreme Court case, and additional work pending arbitrations. No further efforts were made in regard to finalizing the accounting.

Continue discussion of issues preventing agreement on the final accounting. Again, those are primarily being held up by the Supreme Court case, and pending arbitrations.

We were assigned to develop a procedure to account for inflow of stream segment between Guide Rock diversion dam and the relocated stream flow

10:01 AM 15

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10:02 AM

.

10:02 AM 15

gauge. After some discussion and review, Nebraska decided to install a second, or an additional stream gauge. That seems to have resolved the issue, so we're recommending that that assignment be removed.

Discussed application of revised Bonny area capacity tables to the current past accounting. That proposal has been -- Kansas has agreed to apply the area capacity tables to the 2011 and '12 data. Colorado's asked to retroactively apply the area capacity table to 2007 through 2010.

I believe the committee has taken some action on -- or made some recommendations on that. But Kansas agrees to include that information, and I believe a resolution will be forthcoming today.

Discuss any kind of changes that may be needed for surface water diversions for the purpose of recharging groundwater. We didn't continue any discussion with that. I am anticipating that that is something that may need to be retained as an assignment.

Discussed developing a framework for the application of approval process for future augmentation plans. The engineering committee

10:03 AM

10:04 AM 15

recommends that that be continued, but with the pending arbitrations, further direction may be available subsequent to those arbitrations.

Apply the procedure described in Exhibit A of the 2011 Engineering Committee Report, fill in any missing precipitation data for the Compact years of 2008, nine and ten, and for subsequent years. This task was completed on September 7th, 2011 with some minor modifications.

We believe we've attached the Exhibit A to the 2012 Engineering Committee Report, that should finalize that matter.

Discussed archiving the data and materials from the Conservation Committee's study. Final recommendation will be made at the annual meeting. Several locations and web sites are certainly possible for that.

Amend the RRCA Rules and Regulations as discussed on page 76 out of the 2010 transcript. The draft rules were discussed and we'll be making a final draft. It's being prepared for a future RRCA meeting.

We recommend that the assignments be continued as to exchange information, continue efforts to resolve concerns relating to varying

22

23

24

25

methods of estimating groundwater recharge.

Retain Principia Mathematica in ongoing -- retain Principia Mathematica was an assignment for the 2012 -- excuse me, 2013 committee.

And continue efforts to finalize accounting for 2006 to '11.

Continue discussion of issues preventing agreement on final accounting.

Develop a procedure for accounting of inflows to the stream flow segments of Guide Rock.

Discuss any accounting changes that may be necessary to account for surface water diversions used for groundwater recharge.

I'm covering some of these issues in the highlight of the 2011 report. From 2011.

And those assignments were acted upon in 2012.

Do you want the committee's report this morning? Or, are we ready for that?

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Yes.

SCOTT ROSS: Okay. We did exchange some data by April 15th. Willem Schreuder, Principia Mathematica, ran those numbers, April 15th, 2013.

Principia Mathematica ran the numbers for all three states, giving us preliminary data, and

10:06 AM

posted that April 16th.

Kansas and Nebraska had their final data posted by August 30th. And as of August 30th, Colorado had posted the CIR data, but that does not include metered pumping data. Principia Mathematica posted a final run September 10th, 2013, and this model run utilized the no Bonny scenario, as proposed by Colorado, which is currently subject to arbitration.

The committee collected streamflow data, climate data, diversion records from the U.S. Geological Survey, Bureau of Reclamation Army Corps of Engineers for 2012.

Evaluate ways to standardize estimating groundwater recharge. That was a continued assignment. The information is still under review.

Review the contract of Principia

Mathematica. The committee recommends that
assignment, to continue discussions on specific
modeling and data tasks to be assigned to
Principia Mathematica, and this assignment should
be completed by December 15th, 2013. That's the
committee's recommendation.

Continue efforts to finalize accounting

10:07 AM 15

10:07 AM

10:08 AM 15

for 2006-2012, pending the Supreme Court decision and any issues related to the pending arbitration.

Continue to discuss issues preventing agreement on final accounting. Again, subsequent to any Supreme Court case decision and pending arbitration.

Develop a recommendation on whether or not to account for inflows to stream segment between Guide Rock and the relocated stream gauges.

That's been resolved.

Discuss any changes in the surface water diversions, proposed groundwater. Nebraska anticipates those studies will be conducted during a wet year. We hope 2014 will be such a year. And so that's recommended for continuation.

Discuss application approval for augmentation process. Augmentation plan process is subject to current arbitration. No progress was made on this task in 2013.

Finalize the proposal to describe Exhibit A of the 2012's Engineering Committee. That has been completed. It's signed and will be attached to this Engineering Committee Report.

Finalize work on the user manual. Again, we recommended that that be -- I believe that

10:09 AM

matter can best be resolved by each state, and doesn't need to be continued.

Continue development of five year accounting spreadsheet. We believe that's another issue that could be removed from the Engineering Committee's accompanying task list.

Discuss the application of the revised Bonny Area Capacity Table. I believe there will be a resolution this morning related to that, and its retroactive application to the accounting.

We want to make a recommendation that the administration recognize that this does not in any way change any of the USBR technical -- or accounting for water year, and that it is possible, because there were names unapproved RRCA accounting for those years, 2007 through 2012.

And our recommended assignments, the Engineering Committee is recommending assignments. The Engineering Committee had a quarterly review of these tasks and assignments.

Exchange data by April 15. The Engineering Committee recommends an assignment of continued discussion of modeling and data tasks with Principia Mathematica, again to be accomplished by December 15th, 2013.

10:09 AM 15

20 21

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

23 24

22

Continue efforts to resolve concerns related to estimates of groundwater recharge.

Continue efforts to finalize accounting for 2006 to 2012.

Continue discussion preventing agreement on final accounting.

Discuss any accounting changes that may be needed for surface water diversions for the purpose of groundwater recharge.

Discuss developing an application and approval process for future augmentation plans.

And the Engineering Committee will explore options for sharing evaporation charges for Harlan County Lake, when the accounts exist separate from project water supplies of Bostwick Irrigation District.

Further to explore potential means to adjust Compact accounting of Harlan County Lake for the mutual benefits of all the states.

The Committee will engage in discussions to establish a budget to accomplish such tasks as needed by the administration of the states to complete Compact orders.

And that concludes the Engineering Committee Report.

10:11 AM 15

16

17

19

18

14

20

21 22

23

24

10:11 AM

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay. So that was, just for the record, the first part of your report was a review of the 2012 Engineering Committee Report, and the second was highlighting your actions for 2013, correct?

SCOTT ROSS: Correct.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay. And I think we need some additional discussion, maybe. You mentioned something about a resolution on this retroactive application of the Bonny area capacity table. Commissioner Wolfe, do you have something on that?

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Yes, I do, Chairman
Barfield. I appreciate your indulgence on this.

I think maybe we can try to take care of a couple
of issues that are referenced in the committee
reports and recommendations prior to taking action
on the report recommendations, in case there's any
need to modify these.

First, I'd like to suggest that the commissioners act on a resolution regarding Bonny Reservoir that's dealing with the activities that's referenced in item 12(a) of the -- well, 12(a) and 12(b) of the committee's report.

With the assistance of my attorney here

10:12 AM 15

10:12 AM

10:13 AM 15

this morning, on the fly, we've come up with a proposed resolution to memorialize that aspect, just to make sure that it's not as a reference or activity that was done by an engineering committee, but based on our discussion yesterday, I'd like to have official action on that by the Compact Commissioners.

So if you'll indulge me, I'll read in for the record what I would propose as a resolution for us to act on.

WHEREAS, the RRCA accounting from 2007 to 2011 remains unapproved.

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Reclamation completed a revised area capacity table for Bonny Reservoir in 2011.

WHEREAS, Colorado had been requesting the Bureau of Reclamation to revise the area capacity table for many years prior to 2011.

WHEREAS, the revised area capacity table more accurately reflects conditions in Bonny Reservoir, and the amount of water stored therein, as well as the surface area of that storage water.

NOW THEREFORE, the states of Colorado,
Nebraska and Kansas agree to adopt the Revised
Bonny Reservoir Area Capacity Table and apply it

10:14 AM

J

10:14 AM 15

to the 2007 accounting and forward. That change will be effective when the accounting for 2007 and afterwards is approved. The retroactive application of the 2011 survey to this particular RRCA accounting will have no effect on official Bureau of Reclamation records.

And I can certainly pass this to the other commissioners to review prior to taking action, if you're so inclined to do so at this time.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: I take that as a motion then?

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: That -- I would request that that be a motion to the commission.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: To adopt it? I can second for discussion purposes here. Any discussion?

Certainly, I think, for my part, that's consistent with the discussion we had yesterday with respect to this issue. And again, as Commissioner Wolfe has indicated, the last area capacity table for Bonny Reservoir was prepared in 1950, and certainly the current table reflects a much better representation. And there haven't been any significant inflow events in the period you're requesting here. So, I think for the

All

10:15 AM

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

reasons stated in your resolution, and pursuant to our discussion yesterday, we could support this resolution.

Any further discussion then? Okay. in favor of the resolution, say "Aye."

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Aye.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Aye. Any opposed?

Okay. The resolution then passes.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Thank you, Chairman.

The second issue I'd like to just continue in further discussion, clarification, is in regards to the Engineering Committee's activities and the discussion we had yesterday, and recommendations that's highlighted in item 3 of the Recommendations on page 3, referring to the modeling and data tasks that are assigned to Principia Mathematica.

I appreciate the committee's efforts in that regard to address this issue in an ongoing fashion. Certainly, there was quite a bit of discussion on this yesterday. And I guess in light of that discussion yesterday, I guess I'd ask Chairman Barfield, if Kansas has had any further consideration, or has made any particular

14

10:16 AM 15

16 17

18

19

21

20

2223

24

10:16 AM

5 6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

10:17 AM 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decisions based on those discussions yesterday on this matter, and how you would view this going forward, in light of the proposed recommendation by the Engineering Committee?

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Well, we have not had further discussions since yesterday. I believe how we left the matter was that it is an Engineering Committee assignment to, basically, consider the various tasks that he has completed, and how they might work going forward. think we agreed in that context to provide some more definite feedback on our views in the near future.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Thank you. guess in light of that, and the recommendation, what I think would probably be necessary is for the Compact Administration to consider a special meeting on or around December 15th to take action on any recommendations in regards to that effort. Principally, because Dr. Schreuder will be doing ongoing efforts in regards to these activities that he's been doing for the RRCA for many years I'd hate for us to get too far down the road again and for him to, as he said yesterday, to heap additional cost on these efforts, so I'd like 10:18 AM

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

10:18 AM 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to make sure that we give him proper direction on that.

So I'd ask that, for your consideration, that we could take action on that. And I guess as part of that, from the discussion yesterday, some of the things that came to mind for me, and I'd like to reiterate the importance of the efforts that Dr. Schreuder's been doing on behalf of the commission, and creating a consistent method and results for compilation of this information, and posting the RRCA data and model results on a common web site, I think we've all seen great benefits from that.

Caution us against trying to create any duplicate official models, transferring this obligation between the states every couple years. I know that that was talked about. I've just seen from my experience invariably that this method, by doing that, could change, the format could change, and it could lead to inconsistent results over time.

And I think what Willem's performance has been over the past several years has been very consistent. I don't think any of the states have questioned his activities, or his integrity of

10:19 AM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

providing that information and the results.

As he indicated, too, it's, I think, a very minimal cost to the states capped at \$4,000 each year for those efforts.

So I'd recommend as consideration that this committee looks at this before we take action in December on to what extent we continue this, that all the states think of those activities and the benefits that it creates.

It, in my mind, creates kind of a difficult situation in this thing about, you know, you pay for the play of what you're getting out of And if you're going to play and having all this. the benefits of these efforts, we think all the states should share equally in those. And I think it would put us all in a very difficult situation if all three states aren't cooperating and collaborating on this effort. I think it puts those of us who are utilizing Dr. Schreuder for those services, and what information we would make available to each state if they're not collectively paying in that. And it creates issues of proprietary nature of the data, and how do we coordinate that.

And I think it's something that's

10:20 AM 15

10.20 AM 1:

10:20 AM

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

incumbent upon us, as commissioners, and all the Compacts that I serve on, one of the key components of that is creating comity between the states, and I think that taking this effort to share and working three states together in an effort like this, I think is one of those enduring activities that certainly demonstrates comity between the states.

So with that, certainly if there are any other questions or comments from the other two commissioners before we take action on that, I'd like to hear from you.

> CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Any comments?

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Yes. I'd just like to state that Nebraska does support working with Principia Mathematica in the future as in the past, and that will be our position as we work forward on this assignment to the Engineering Committee.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Very good. Well, I appreciate your comments and perspective stated here, and I certainly agree, we're willing to sort of work through the issue further, as we've talked about, and I do agree that, you know, we need to bring closure to this item rather than leave it

10:21 AM 15

19

20

21

22

23

24

10:21 AM

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I certainly would be willing to act on hanging. this on a time basis of what you're suggesting here.

You know, the states have all used the model, we all run the model, we have a common model that we've approved and adopted. demonstrated in various forums that we're able to produce results that are consistent with one another.

So, again, we just need to talk through the issues and what makes sense that we can all do.

So, do you have a specific -- are you just looking for a commitment to work to resolve the issue in the time frame you're talking about?

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Yes, Chairman. And I think maybe one way to accomplish that, something in the form of a modification to Item 3 on the Recommendations, that the committee will accomplish their task by December 15th, and if the RRCA could convene a special meeting shortly thereafter to take action on that recommendation, that would be my recommendation to a modification to that recommendation for the Commission to act on for approval for the entirety of the report.

10:22 AM 15

10:23 AM

Well, Commissioner CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Dunnigan will be the chair, but again, I certainly support work in the time frames you're speaking I don't know if we need a resolution or just that the record we're creating here is sufficient.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: I would just add for the record that the Assignment 1, Engineering Committee will meet quarterly to review the tasks assigned to the committee. This can be a priority assignment and we can schedule that early after this meeting to address that issue.

> COMMISSIONER WOLFE: That's acceptable.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right. Very good. That's acceptable to Kansas. Is there any other discussion regarding the Engineering Committee Report we need to have at this juncture? report obviously summarizes their activities, and provides a list of recommended assignments for the coming year that we'll address in Agenda Item But is there any other discussion? 9(b).

There is an assignment specific for the Harlan County Reservoir, and we'll have a bit more discussion about that later. Any other discussion on the Engineering Committee Report?

14

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

10:23 AM 15

22 23

24

10:24 AM

10:25 AM 15

Very good. Well, I would like to suggest maybe a short break at this juncture, and then we could reconvene in ten minutes, and conclude the rest of the agenda, if that's okay?

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Chairman Barfield,
I'm not sure, did we actually take an action on
approving Engineering Report and the
recommendations? If not, I would move that we
approve the Engineering Report and the
recommendations that are stated.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Mr. Wolfe, I believe we do that under Agenda Item 9(b). On the report and the assignment.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Okay, that will work. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay, we will take a ten minute break then.

(A recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay, we'll continue through our agenda then. We're ready for Agenda Item 8. Old business. The status of the previous accountings.

I believe this is a carry-over from previous agendas, and I believe the Engineering

10:40 AM

10:41 AM 15

Committee has provided the basic status of these accountings with respect to they have not been able to complete it due to issues that are pending in the litigation and in the arbitration. So I don't think there's anything more to add to that agenda item.

Very good. Then we will go to agenda item 9(a)(1). And Nebraska has some issues that it's asked to be put on your agenda. Mr. Dunnigan.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Thank you,
Chairman Barfield. On May 24th, I sent a letter
to Commissioner Barfield stating that Kansas has
failed to comply with its duties under Article IX
of the Compact by failing to administer it, and
therefore, violating the Compact.

Article IX of the Compact reads in part.

It shall be the duty of the three states to administer this Compact through the official in each state, who is now, or hereafter, may be charged with the duty of administering the public water supplies and to collect and correlate through such officials the data necessary for proper administration of the provisions of this Compact.

Kansas' unwillingness or inability to

10:41 AM

resolve key elements of Compact implementation is harming Nebraska water users.

As I stated earlier this morning, Nebraska seeks from Kansas a clear and transparent process that can be utilized in working to resolve issues related to implementation of key components provided within the FSS. This process must be focused on resolving and narrowing the differences between the State's positions and not on delaying the implementation of these key components.

I asked in my May 24th letter that

Commissioner Barfield, by September 1st, submit to
the RRCA a plan for ensuring that Kansas complies
with Article IX. Nebraska requested that this
agenda item be added so that Commissioner Barfield
could discuss Kansas' plan to ensure compliance
with Article IX.

Chairman Barfield.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay. Well, on August 30, I did provide a response to your letter, and request. You know, Colorado and Nebraska are seeking to implement FSS provisions, which sort of changed the status quo of the accounting in some profound ways, and these changes must be done properly.

10:42 AM 15

10:43 AM

10:44 AM 15

Wolfe.

You know, Kansas disagreed with Nebraska's assertions that Kansas' objections and concerns that have resulted in our disagreement with the proposals are -- are not founded on provisions of the FSS. So the FSS provides clear procedures for dealing with these matters.

It involves the administration, its

Engineering Committee, as a first level of

discussions of these matters in seeking to resolve

them. It provides a dispute resolution process

that's clearly laid out for working through these

issues.

As I said in my report earlier, we are actively engaged in those processes, and as I've consistently communicated, we'll continue to be ready to work these issues out in -- in those venues. So that's my response.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Chairman Barfield.
CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Yes, Commissioner

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Yes, I'd like to just add a little bit to this discussion. I guess I share, echo, some of the concerns raised by Nebraska. And I think the big picture of this as we're working on these efforts, that there's just

10:44 AM

by the RRCA on that.

spent in litigation and arbitrations. And I know that's a process that's afforded under the FSS. But I think what Nebraska is trying to emphasize, that I think the efforts to address these issues when they come before the RRCA, we should commit the necessary resources to do that. We need to make the sacrifices then to commit the necessary resources to do that the necessary resources to do that, then having as a default ending up spending considerable more sacrifices time, money, and effort in arbitration and litigation.

10:45 AM 15

And I mean, just to highlight that,

Colorado has been working almost six years on

getting the Compact Compliance Pipeline approved.

And the district has, like I said, spent almost a

hundred million dollars to try to come into

compliance, and yet we have not achieved approval

And so, again, it just highlights this thing of, we've got to find a way to work together, the three states, to put the necessary resources together at the very beginning and the best type of resources and sacrifices in to make this process work. And I know we've all got a lot

10:45 AM

10:46 AM 15

of things on our plate that we're working on, but we need to really dedicate those resources early on in the process.

And I think that's part of what

Commissioner Dunnigan is trying to highlight in

that. And really, if, you know, if we really want

this process to work, I think that's where the

investment and time and resources have got to be.

I mean, we are where we are here today, but again,

I implore the commissioners that we need to work

in a cooperative and collaborative effort when

these issues come to the states, and really

dedicate the necessary resources.

As we heard in the meeting yesterday, I think the Engineering Committee met maybe one time last year. If we're really going to make an attempt to address these issues, I think this is a great effort to recommendations that the committee work on a more frequent basis as these issues come up, and dedicating the necessary resources to do that.

We've certainly, I know Chairman Barfield, you and I, both, have been involved in other litigations between our states, and particularly in the Arkansas, and the lessons we've learned

10:46 AM

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

from there, and the many years and many dollars that can be spent on these disputes.

So, again, I just want to emphasize, we need to figure out that there's additional steps or measures that this commission can take to ensure that we're dedicating the necessary resources.

And I think it starts with us to ensure that when these disputes come forward, or requests come forward from any of the states, that we develop a plan early on to timelines and everything that we can commit our staffs to work on these matters. Thank you.

Well, thank you, CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Commissioner Wolfe. I quess the only thing in response to that comment is, I believe Kansas has dedicated the resources necessary. Our failure to agree is not necessarily a failure to commit the resources necessary, but again, as I indicated, we -- we are -- we would like to work through these issues, and get to resolution. And we'll continue to work through them in a responsive way. Is there any further discussion?

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Yes, Chairman Barfield. I agree with you that the dispute

10:47 AM 15

> 21 22

10:48 AM

10:49 AM 15

resolution process is clearly laid out. And my point is that, I'm asking if Kansas has a process that they'll share with the RRCA on how they will go through issues brought before the RRCA so we don't end up in the dispute resolution process.

I would ask if you would share with us, today, the staff and the resources that you dedicate to the Republican River Compact on an annual basis.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Well, I don't have a tabulation prepared in any form in terms of the hours that we have dedicated. They have been profound in terms of my time, the time of our staff, as well as utilizing experts. So -- but I don't have any specific tabulation of that for you.

Again, at the beginning of my report, I went through the very significant resources we have dedicated in terms of time.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Chairman Barfield, do you recognize the time sensitive nature of some of the issues that have been brought before the RRCA, such as the alternative water short year plan that Nebraska proposed, and the augmentation plans that Nebraska proposed, given the fact that

10:50 AM

we are in a water short year?

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Well, Kansas is responding to those initiatives, as they come with the necessary resources. Nebraska would have had the opportunity to develop an alternative water short plan dialogue of many years ago. It did it at a juncture that, and again, provided a plan that did not conform with Appendix M, and I can't change that. We've provided guidance as to what we think how that issue can be worked through, and again, Nebraska did not bring the augmentation plan to us until the thing was constructed.

My obligation, I believe, under the Compact is to respond. But these changes are, as I said, quite profound, and must be done in an appropriate way.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: I would just note for the record that Colorado did find Nebraska's alternative water short year plan in conformance with Appendix M, and also found that the Rock Creek proposal in conformance with the final settlement stipulation.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right. Any further discussion? Would you like to proceed to the next agenda item?

10:51 AM 15

10.21 Au 1

10:52 AM

Commissioner Dunnigan, are you ready to move on to the next item on the Harlan county?

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: We are, and I'll turn it over to Dr. Schneider.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you.

DR. JIM SCHNEIDER: I'll just briefly summarize, or just mention that we had a discussion yesterday on the accounting that was done for Harlan County Lake regarding the evaporation for Compact water that was involved in Nebraska's Compact compliance efforts.

And we developed a resolution that essentially commits Kansas to assuming responsibility for the evaporation of that Compact water, and then utilizes the, essentially, the same process of looking at the ratio of the diversions between the two districts for splitting the remainder of the evaporation from Harlan County Lake.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Should I read this into the record, or have one of you, and then we'll act on it? Okay.

As Dr. Schneider indicated, we talked through this issue yesterday, and subsequent through the evening developed a resolution that

10:53 AM 15

10.53 AM .

10:53 AM

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

10:54 AM 15

16

18

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

we'll consider today to memorialize that discussion and agreement.

It's a resolution concerning Harlan County Lake evaporation split for 2013. And it states:

Unless subsequently agreed to otherwise, the States agree to share the evaporation of Harlan County Lake for 2013 according to the following method:

- Kansas will accept full responsibility for the evaporation that is charged to the "Compact Water" pool as determined by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.
- The States will split the remainder of 2. the evaporation for the year in proportion to the annual diversions made by the Kansas Bostwick Irrigation District and the Nebraska Bostwick Irrigation District from the beginning of the irrigation releases from Harlan County Lake until September 1.

And so I guess I would entertain a motion to adopt this resolution.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Chairman Barfield, I just wanted, for clarification, it sounded like what you read was maybe an earlier version. that second provision you said "the States," and I 10:54 AM think you had modified it to say, "Kansas and Nebraska, " so I'm not sure you were reading from the last modified version. Am I correct? CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: You are correct. COMMISSIONER WOLFE: I just want to reflect for the record that Colorado's not part of 6 this allocation of evaporation, so when the reference is made to "States" I wanted to make it 8 clear that it was just "Nebraska and Kansas." 9 there were some revisions to this, so if you want 10 to refer to maybe the most current one that you 11 had finished with, that would be the -- probably 12 the one we should act on. 13 CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right. Hold on 14 10:55 AM 15 one moment. Chelsea, do you have the most recent 16 version? 17 18 CHELSEA ERICKSON: No. CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay. I e-mailed it 19 20 to you last night. It's not here before me. Ιf technology can allow me to pull it up on my 21 Blackberry, if you'll just hold on for a moment. 22 23 (Pause in proceedings) CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: I believe my 24

recollection of the changes last night is you sent

10:56 AM

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

an e-mail, and we substituted for the words "the States" the words, "Kansas and Nebraska." And we removed a duplication of the phrase, "and the" which was there twice. Which I didn't read twice.

So I guess I would entertain a motion to accept it as read, except correcting, "the States" to read, "Kansas and Nebraska." And with that, I entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: So moved.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Very good. All in favor, say "Aye."

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Aye.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Aye.

Okay. I believe that addresses that issue.

Yes, we will print up a new one and sign a new version here momentarily. And we are also working to type up the Resolution concerning the application of Bonny's Area Capacity Table, and will sign that here at the conclusion of our meeting.

Commissioner Dunnigan, would you like to take the third item?

10:56 AM 15

10.20 AM 1

10:57 AM

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Yes, please.

All right. CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Very good. And just to provide a bit of background on this, Commissioner Dunnigan and I also represent our respective states on the Big Blue Compact meeting. I had at that meeting, similar to here, reported on some of the significant legislation that was enacted by our legislature, and noted the significant change in terms of regulation from a dam safety standpoint of what we call in the Compact, non federal reservoirs, narrowing the scope of what dams are regulated from a water structure standpoint.

10:58 AM 15

24

25

He wondered, or asked, basically, for a report here as to how that would impact our obligations to report non federal reservoir evaporation. And basically, at the work session last night I provided a response that said that the dam made two changes in dams. One is a change in definition, and the second is an expansion of the exemptions. And the more profound change was the expansion of the exemptions, but exempt dams have to be registered and have to be low hazard. So we'll still have a way to monitor new dams that are built, for the majority of dams.

10:58 AM

And then I explained in addition to our regulatory responsibilities with respect to dam construction and dam safety, we have to permit water use from dams. And that between the two, we have sufficient opportunity to monitor new dams, and we would continue to carry all dams that meet the existing definition. So that -- that's my report on that item.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Thank you for that explanation.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Okay. With that, I think we're ready then to act on the Engineering Committee Report. Okay. Very good. Let me back up. Kansas has no additional items under 9, under Item 9. I guess, Commissioner Wolfe, I would ask if you have any additional new items of business to consider?

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Colorado has no additions.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you. All right. With that then I would move us to Agenda Item 9(b) where we act on the Engineering Committee Report, and its recommendations for assignments for the coming year.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: I move that we

10:59 AM 15

11:00 AM

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

approve the Engineering Committee's Report, and the associated assignments.

> COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right. It's been moved and seconded, is there any discussion? not, I would ask for a vote. All in favor, say "Aye."

> COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Aye.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Aye.

The motion CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Aye. passes.

Agenda Item 9(c) is a resolution honoring Scott Ross, and I would just like to read the resolution in the record.

This is a resolution that we have offered.

WHEREAS, Scott E. Ross of Stockton, Kansas is retiring tomorrow from his long-held position of Water Commissioner for the Division of Water Resources, Kansas Department of Agriculture, after faithfully serving in the Department for over thirty-two years; and,

WHEREAS, acting as the Kansas Representative to the Republican River Compact Administration Hearing Committee, Scott has diligently represented the Compact interests of

11:00 AM 15

23

11:01 AM

11:01 AM 15

the State of Kansas and its residents of the Republican River Valley and its tributaries, as well as addressing the State of Kansas to maintain its fulfillment of its obligations under the Compact; and,

WHEREAS, while diligently representing the State of Kansas and its constituents, Scott has kept open lines of communication with representatives of the States of Colorado and Nebraska, assisted in compiling Compact data, and assisted several Kansas Chief Engineers to reach fair and reasonable solutions to the many issues associated with the Republican River Compact; and,

WHEREAS, Scott's professionalism, straight-forward personality, and "Git' R'Done" attitude have been an asset to the RRCA and the State of Kansas

NOW THEREFORE, be it hereby resolved that the Republican River Compact Administration does hereby express its sincerest gratitude and appreciation to Scott E. Ross for his service to RRCA in his position of Kansas representative on the Engineering Committee.

Be it further resolved that RRCA honor Mr. Ross' service by including this resolution and

11:02 AM 1	appropriate dedicatory remarks in RRCA's annual
2	report for the Compact year 2013 and hereby
3	instructs the Kansas Commissioner to send copies
4	of this resolution to the Ross family and Governor
5	of the State of Kansas.
6	Entered this 12th day of September, 2013,
7	at the annual meeting of the RRCA in October, held
8	in Colby, Kansas.
9	I guess I would move adoption of the
10	resolution.
11	COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Any discussion?
13	COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Mr. Chairman, on
14	Colorado's behalf, Mr. Ross, we'd like to thank
11:02 AM 15	you for all your dedicated years to the
16	commission, and wish you the best in your
17	retirement, and hope all goes well.
18	SCOTT ROSS: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: And that's echoed
20	by Nebraska.
21	CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right, very good.
22	Thank you very much. I guess I'd ask for a vote.
23	All in favor say, "Aye."
24	COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Aye.
25	COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Aye.

11:03 AM

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Aye.

(applause)

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Very good. This is an opportunity then for any remarks from the public. We have a microphone here. Come forward.

DAVID ROBBINS: Chairman Barfield and members of the Commission, my name is David I represent the Republican River Water Conservation District. And my remarks are, in part, a follow-up on the remarks made earlier by Commissioner Dunnigan and Commissioner Wolfe. that has to do with the question of solving problems cooperatively.

Based upon remarks that Commissioner Barfield made at the meeting last year, and what I understand to be the discussion that occurred yesterday, and that I observed, apparently Kansas is considering whether or not to continue funding a third of a common effort to develop data sets each year representing the Basin, and then including them in preliminary and final runs of a model that is required by the final settlement stipulation.

It's very troubling to the water users in Colorado that that sort of a consideration would

11:04 AM 15

20

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

22

21

23

11:04 AM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

be occurring, particularly in light of the concerns that Nebraska and Colorado have already stated about the need to move toward cooperation. And so my request is that if it is Kansas' determination, which it is free to make as a single state, not to participate cooperatively, that the states of Nebraska and Colorado promptly instruct Mr. Schreuder of Principia Mathematica to establish separate proprietary web sites so that all of the work information developed, and the model activity that is being handled by that firm, is available only to the people who are paying for it.

11:05 AM 15

> 22 23

21

24

25

I believe strongly in the principle of pay to play, and if Kansas doesn't want to participate in a cooperative effort, then I think they should be free to do whatever they wish in terms of developing data, recording data into the model, operating the model. But at the end of the day, the sharing of information between that, the state of Kansas and the other two states, are to occur at a common time, and only that information shared by Kansas with the other two states should be shared the other way.

I think it's a mistake. I think the

11:06 AM

11:07 AM 15

11.01 AM

moving away from a common set of data, a common operation of the model, will ultimately result in a tremendous waste of money and time, for both sides, whether it's in an arbitration proceeding, in the Engineering Committee or in litigation. So I'm hoping that that isn't the ultimate decision.

But I want to make it very clear that on behalf of the water users, we strongly request that if it is going to be state by state, that you handle it in that way in the future.

Obviously, Kansas has participated up to this time, and everything that's been done up to this time should be shared among the three states. But going forward, please take that into account. Thank you very much for the time to comment.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Thank you. Any other remarks from the public?

DENNIS CORYELL: Yes. Commissioners, I commend you for the work that you do and the efforts that you put forth to deal with Compact issues.

But from my perspective, I believe that there is a bit of a disconnect in what is going on on the ground, and what happens in meetings.

Five and-a-half years ago, I stood before

11:07 AM

11:09 AM 15

this Commission and presented a plan for Colorado to achieve compliance. In that time period, my farm alone, we've spent \$70,000 to achieve compliance. In January, it will jump to \$85,000.

You know, if -- if \$15,000 was deducted from your salaries every year that a settlement is not reached, I contend that this would long have been settled.

My first inclination would be to lock the three states in a room, let me have the key, and I'm not going to let you out until you get it settled.

You know, I wouldn't buy a piece of machinery and leave it set in my field and not use it for five and-a-half years. And that's where we're at in the Republican Basin in Colorado. So actions speaks louder than words.

Please get together. I don't know what -what process has not been working. But it needs
to change. That's clearly a -- a farmer's
perspective. I'm not a lawyer. I'm not an
engineer. I wish I weren't a chairman of a board.
We need to get this done. And we need to have a
process in the future, when issues like this come
up, they get settled, and not talked about. Thank

11:10 AM

you.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: And for the record, that's Dennis Coryell.

Thank you for your remarks.

Any further remarks from the public?

Very good. We'll move on to the next
agenda item, which is future meeting arrangements.

I would turn to Commissioner Dunnigan, soon to be
Chairman Dunnigan, for your pleasure there.

COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: We will work with the commissioners on suitable dates for next year's annual meeting, but we would like to put a date out there right now. We would plan on August 27th and 28th, a Wednesday and a Thursday, and that meeting would be in Lincoln. And of course if that doesn't work, we'll work on a schedule for that meeting. But I did want to put that out while people's schedules may be more available now.

CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Very good. We'll proceed along that basis, and we'll consult our calendars, and let you know if there's anything that, at this juncture, appears to conflict.

COMMISSIONER WOLFE: At this time for Colorado, those dates work.

11:10 AM 15

11:11 AM 1	CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Very good. Okay.
2	Well, if there's nothing else to discuss, I would
3	move to, I guess I'd entertain a motion to
4	adjourn.
5	COMMISSIONER WOLFE: So move.
6	COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: All right. All in
8	favor say, "Aye."
9	COMMISSIONER WOLFE: Aye.
10	COMMISSIONER DUNNIGAN: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN BARFIELD: Aye. We're adjourned.
12	
13	Proceedings concluded at 11:11 A.M.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
STATE OF KANSAS,
 5 THOMAS COUNTY, SS
                     CERTIFICATE
                I, Marilyn F. Bailey, Registered Merit
8 Reporter, do hereby certify the above and foregoing
9 proceeding was taken at the time and place as
10 specified; that the same was taken before myself in
11 shorthand and later transcribed and extended into
12 typewritten form to the best of my ability, and is a
13 true and correct extension hereof.
                That I am not counsel nor relative of any
14
15 of the parties or otherwise interested in the event or
16 outcome of this matter.
17
                IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and
18 official seal at Colby, Kansas, this 15th day of November, 2013.
19
20
                               MARILYN F. BAILEY, RMR-CRR
21
22
23
24
25
```